

PATENT 108

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Our File: SHP-PT050

October 17, 2001

Date:

In the **PATENT APPLICATION** of:

Victor Christou

Application No.:

09/445,050

Filed:

March 6, 2000

For:

ORGANOMETALLIC COMPLEXES

Group:

1774

Examiner:

L. Xu

REPLY PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Commissioner for Patents Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

This Reply is responsive to the Official Action dated July 17, 2001 (Paper No. 11) and is filed in with an appropriate petition for extension of time.

REMARKS

The Examiner indicated that applicant elected Group II for further prosecution, which corresponded to new claims 24-35. However, as set forth in the reply filed on June 25, 2001, new claims 36-44 are also believed to be appropriately categorized as Group II claims. Applicant respectfully requests further prosecution of claims 24-44 and traverses the restriction requirement if it is intended to be applied to claims 36-44. Even though some of claims 36-44 do not claim a complex *per se*, clearly the primary inventive concept of these claims resides in the complex as defined by claim 24, from which each of them depends.

Applicant: Victor Christou **Application No.:** 09/445,050

claims resides in the complex as defined by claim 24. Therefore, claims 24-44 are properly maintained in a single application pursuant to M.P.E.P. §806.05(c).

The Examiner also indicated that the reply filed on June 25, 2001 was not fully responsive to the Official Action dated April 25, 2001 (Paper No. 10) because applicant did not respond to the election of species requirement set forth in paragraph 2 of the Official Action dated April 25, 2001.

In accordance with the Examiner's requirement, applicant elects the following species for elements Z, L, M, A, X, and R1 - R4 described in claims 3-9 (now canceled) and claims 24-44:

$$Z = HB$$

$$L = H$$

$$H = Tb$$

$$A = CF_3SO_3 \frac{(accd a)}{(accd a)} \frac{1}{12} \frac{1$$

R4 =

Applicant: Victor Christou **Application No.:** 09/445,050

The Examiner also repeated and made final the restriction requirement on the ground that the complex recited in claims 45 and 46 (Group I) is anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,707,745 (Forrest et al.). Applicant again respectfully traverses the restriction requirement.

Claims 45 and 46 require that <u>each</u> ligand of the complex <u>must</u> include at least one pyrazolyl group. Forest et al. does not anticipate claims 45 or 46 because the complex disclosed in Forest et al. includes bidentate ligand D, which does not contain a pyrazolyl group (see col. 8, lines 54-58 and claim 15). Thus, applicant respectfully submits that Forest et al. does not anticipate the complex of claims 45 or 46.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the restriction requirement and allowance are respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Victor Christou

By_

C. Frederick Koenig III, Esquire

Registration No. 29,662

(215) 568-6400

Volpe and Koenig, P.C. Suite 400, One Penn Center 1617 John F. Kennedy Boulevard Philadelphia, PA 19103

CFK/DAN/sp



Signature

October 17, 2001

Date

PTO/SB/21 (08-00)

Approved for use through 10/31/2002. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.

TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number	09/445,050
Filing Date	March 6, 2000
First Named Inventor	Victor Christou
Group Art Unit	1774
Examiner Name	Ling Xu
Attorney Docket Number	SHP-PT050

Total Number of Pages in This Submission 3 Attorney Docket Number 3111 1 1030				
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)				
Fee Transmittal For	m	Assignment Papers (for an Application)	After Allowance Communication to Group	
Fee Attached	j	Drawing(s)	Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
X Amendment / Reply	′	Licensing-related Papers	Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Natice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition Petition	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/de	claration(s)	Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application	Status Letter	
X Extension of Time R	Request	Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address	Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonm	ent Request	Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund		
Information Disclose		CD, Number of CD(s)		
Certified Copy of Pr Document(s)	onty	Remarks		
Response to Missin Incomplete Applicat				
	Missing Parts R 1.52 or 1.53			
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT				
Firm	C. Frederick k	Koenig III, Esquire	Reg. No. 29,662	
<i>or</i> Individual name	Volpe and Koenig, P.C.			
Signature	460			
Date	October 17, 2001			
CERTIFICATE OF MAILING				
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231 on this date: October 17, 2001				
Typed or printed name				

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.